



WELSH FLAXMAN & GITLER
2000 DUKE STREET, SUITE 100
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

MAILED

JUN 17 2011

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of
Stefan Neumeyer
Application No. 10/538,718
Filed: September 28, 2006
Attorney Docket No: A-9598

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition filed June 15, 2011 under 37 CFR 1.137(b),¹ to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

This application became abandoned June 11, 2010 for failure to timely reply to the non-Final Office Action mailed March 10, 2010 within the shortened statutory period of three months set for reply. Accordingly, a Notice of Abandonment was mailed January 4, 2011.

This matter is being referred to Technology Center 3776 for appropriate action on the amendment filed June 15, 2011.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter should be directed to the undersigned Petitions Attorney at (571) 272-3212.

Patricia Faison-Ball
Senior Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions

¹ Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A grantable petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

(1) the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In a nonprovisional application filed on or after June 8, 1995, and abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may also be met by the filing of a request for continued examination in compliance with § 1.114. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof. In an application abandoned for failure to pay the publication fee, the required reply must include payment of the publication fee.

(2) the petition, fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

(3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Director may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

(4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c)).